## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

THOMAS STONE,		)	
v.	Plaintiff,	) )	Case No. 08 C 1746
	<b></b> ,	)	Judge Bucklo
CORUS BANK, N.A.,		)	Magistrate Judge Denlow
	Defendant.	) )	

## PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION

Plaintiff Thomas Stone moves this Court to certify this case as a class action, stating as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff's claims are based on Corus Bank's failure to comply with the required ATM fee notice postings set forth in the Electronic Fund Transfers Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1693 et seq., ("EFTA" or "Act"), with respect to a Corus Bank-operated ATM located at 530 Torrence Ave., Calumet City, IL 60409.
- 2. Because the EFTA claims at issue arise from Corus Bank's failure to post the statutorily-required surcharge notice on the outside of the ATM in question, the class action device is the ideal mechanism for disposition of all ATM-users' claims. In fact, the EFTA specifically endorses class actions as an appropriate mechanism for resolving claims under the EFTA.

1

See 15 U.S.C. § 1693m.

- 3. In determining whether a class will be certified, the substantive allegations of the complaint should be accepted as true.<sup>2</sup> Moreover, Rule 23 is a remedial procedure that should be construed liberally to permit class actions.<sup>3</sup>
- 4. As detailed in Plaintiff's accompanying memorandum, the requirements to proceed with a class action under FED. R. Civ. P. 23(a) numerosity, commonality, typicality, and adequacy are satisfied in this case. Moreover, the requirements for certifying a Rule 23(b)(3) class are also met.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Thomas Stone respectfully requests that this Court enter an order certifying the following class:

All persons who, from March 26, 2007 to March 27, 2008, were charged a transaction fee for the use of automated teller machine number S862010, located at Corus Bank, 530 Torrence Ave., Calumet City, IL 60409.

Respectfully submitted,

By: <u>/s/ Lance A. Raphael</u>
One of Plaintiffs' Attorneys

Lance A. Raphael Stacy M. Bardo Allison A. Krumhorn The Consumer Advocacy Center, P.C. 180 West Washington, Suite 700 Chicago, IL 60602

Tel: (312) 782-5808 Fax: (312) 377-9930

Eisen v. Carlisle & Jacquelin, 417 U.S. 156, 178 (1974); Keele v. Wexler, No. 95 C 3483, 1996 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 3253, \*1 (N.D. Ill. March 19, 1996), aff'd, 149 F.3d 589 (7th Cir. 1998).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See, e.g., Gates v. Towery, No. 04 C 2155, 2004 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 22876, \*4 (N.D. Ill. Nov. 10, 2004); Cannon v. Nationwide Acceptance Corp., No. 96 C 1136, 1997 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 3517 at \*4 (N.D. Ill. March 24, 1997).